

Data Mining Classification: Basic Concepts, Decision Trees, and Model Evaluation


Lecture Notes for Chapter 4

Introduction to Data Mining
by
Tan, Steinbach, Kumar

Classification: Definition

- Given a collection of records (*training set*)
 - Each record contains a set of *attributes*, one of the attributes is the *class*.
- Find a *model* for class attribute as a function of the values of other attributes.
- Goal: previously unseen records should be assigned a class as accurately as possible.
 - A *test set* is used to determine the accuracy of the model. Usually, the given data set is divided into training and test sets, with training set used to build the model and test set used to validate it.

Illustrating Classification Task



Examples of Classification Task

- Predicting tumor cells as benign or malignant
- Classifying credit card transactions as legitimate or fraudulent
- Classifying secondary structures of protein as alpha-helix, beta-sheet, or random coil
- Categorizing news stories as finance, weather, entertainment, sports, etc



Classification Techniques

- Decision Tree based Methods
- Rule-based Methods
- Memory based reasoning
- Neural Networks
- Naïve Bayes and Bayesian Belief Networks
- Support Vector Machines


Evaluation of classification techniques

- Accuracy
 - quality of the prediction
- Efficiency
 - model building time
 - classification time
- Scalability
 - training set size
 - attribute number
- Robustness
 - noise, missing data
- Interpretability
 - model interpretability
 - model compactness

Example of a Decision Tree

Tid	Refund	Marital Status	Taxable Income	Cheat
1	Yes	Single	125K	No
2	No	Married	100K	No
3	No	Single	70K	No
4	Yes	Married	120K	No
5	No	Divorced	95K	Yes
6	No	Married	60K	No
7	Yes	Divorced	220K	No
8	No	Single	85K	Yes
9	No	Married	75K	No
10	No	Single	90K	Yes


Training Data



Model: Decision Tree

Another Example of Decision Tree

Tid	Refund	Marital Status	Taxable Income	Cheat
1	Yes	Single	125K	No
2	No	Married	100K	No
3	No	Single	70K	No
4	Yes	Married	120K	No
5	No	Divorced	95K	Yes
6	No	Married	60K	No
7	Yes	Divorced	220K	No
8	No	Single	85K	Yes
9	No	Married	75K	No
10	No	Single	90K	Yes



There could be more than one tree that fits the same data!


Decision Tree Classification Task

Tid	Attrib1	Attrib2	Attrib3	Class
1	Yes	Large	125K	No
2	No	Medium	100K	No
3	No	Small	70K	No
4	Yes	Medium	120K	No
5	No	Large	95K	Yes
6	No	Medium	60K	No
7	Yes	Large	220K	No
8	No	Small	85K	Yes
9	No	Medium	75K	No
10	No	Small	90K	Yes

Training Set


Tid	Attrib1	Attrib2	Attrib3	Class
11	No	Small	65K	?
12	Yes	Medium	80K	?
13	Yes	Large	110K	?
14	No	Small	95K	?
15	No	Large	87K	?

Test Set



Apply Model to Test Data

Start from the root of the tree.




Test Data

Refund	Marital Status	Taxable Income	Cheat
No	Married	80K	?

Apply Model to Test Data

Test Data


Refund	Marital Status	Taxable Income	Cheat
No	Married	80K	?



Apply Model to Test Data

Test Data

Refund	Marital Status	Taxable Income	Cheat
No	Married	80K	?



Apply Model to Test Data

Test Data

Refund	Marital Status	Taxable Income	Cheat
No	Married	80K	?

© Tan,Steinbach, Kumar Introduction to Data Mining 4/18/2004 13

Apply Model to Test Data

Test Data

Refund	Marital Status	Taxable Income	Cheat
No	Married	80K	?

© Tan,Steinbach, Kumar Introduction to Data Mining 4/18/2004 14

Apply Model to Test Data

Test Data

Refund	Marital Status	Taxable Income	Cheat
No	Married	80K	?

© Tan,Steinbach, Kumar Introduction to Data Mining 4/18/2004 15

Decision Tree Classification Task

© Tan,Steinbach, Kumar Introduction to Data Mining 4/18/2004 16

Decision Tree Induction

- Many Algorithms:
 - Hunt's Algorithm (one of the earliest)
 - CART
 - ID3, C4.5
 - SLIQ, SPRINT

© Tan,Steinbach, Kumar Introduction to Data Mining 4/18/2004 17

General Structure of Hunt's Algorithm

- Let D_t be the set of training records that reach a node t
- General Procedure:
 - If D_t contains records that belong to the same class y_t , then t is a leaf node labeled as y_t
 - If D_t is an empty set, then t is a leaf node labeled by the default class, y_d
 - If D_t contains records that belong to more than one class, use an attribute test to split the data into smaller subsets. Recursively apply the procedure to each subset.

© Tan,Steinbach, Kumar Introduction to Data Mining 4/18/2004 18

Hunt's Algorithm

Id	Refund	Marital Status	Taxable Income	Cheat
1	Yes	Single	125K	No
2	No	Married	100K	No
3	No	Single	70K	No
4	Yes	Married	120K	No
5	No	Divorced	95K	Yes
6	No	Married	60K	No
7	Yes	Divorced	220K	No
8	No	Single	85K	Yes
9	No	Married	75K	No
10	No	Single	90K	Yes

© Tan, Steinbach, Kumar Introduction to Data Mining 4/18/2004 19

Tree Induction

- Greedy strategy.
 - Split the records based on an attribute test that optimizes certain criterion.
- Issues
 - Determine how to split the records
 - ◆ How to specify the attribute test condition?
 - ◆ How to determine the best split?
 - Determine when to stop splitting

© Tan, Steinbach, Kumar Introduction to Data Mining 4/18/2004 20

Tree Induction

- Greedy strategy.
 - Split the records based on an attribute test that optimizes certain criterion.
- Issues
 - Determine how to split the records
 - ◆ How to specify the attribute test condition?
 - ◆ How to determine the best split?
 - Determine when to stop splitting

© Tan, Steinbach, Kumar Introduction to Data Mining 4/18/2004 21

How to Specify Test Condition?

- Depends on attribute types
 - Nominal
 - Ordinal
 - Continuous
- Depends on number of ways to split
 - 2-way split
 - Multi-way split

© Tan, Steinbach, Kumar Introduction to Data Mining 4/18/2004 22

Splitting Based on Nominal Attributes

- **Multi-way split:** Use as many partitions as distinct values.
- **Binary split:** Divides values into two subsets. Need to find optimal partitioning.

 OR

© Tan, Steinbach, Kumar Introduction to Data Mining 4/18/2004 23

Splitting Based on Ordinal Attributes

- **Multi-way split:** Use as many partitions as distinct values.
- **Binary split:** Divides values into two subsets. Need to find optimal partitioning.


 OR
- What about this split?

© Tan, Steinbach, Kumar Introduction to Data Mining 4/18/2004 24

Splitting Based on Continuous Attributes

- Different ways of handling
 - **Discretization** to form an ordinal categorical attribute
 - ◆ Static – discretize once at the beginning
 - ◆ Dynamic – ranges can be found by equal interval bucketing, equal frequency bucketing (percentiles), or clustering.
 - **Binary Decision:** $(A < v)$ or $(A \geq v)$
 - ◆ consider all possible splits and finds the best cut
 - ◆ can be more compute intensive

Splitting Based on Continuous Attributes




Tree Induction

- Greedy strategy.
 - Split the records based on an attribute test that optimizes certain criterion.
- Issues
 - Determine how to split the records
 - ◆ How to specify the attribute test condition?
 - ◆ **How to determine the best split?**
 - Determine when to stop splitting

How to determine the Best Split

Before Splitting: 10 records of class 0, 10 records of class 1



Which test condition is the best?

How to determine the Best Split

- Greedy approach:
 - Nodes with **homogeneous** class distribution are preferred
- Need a measure of node impurity:

C0: 5
C1: 5

Non-homogeneous,
High degree of impurity

C0: 9
C1: 1

Homogeneous,
Low degree of impurity

Measures of Node Impurity

- Gini Index
- Entropy
- Misclassification error

How to Find the Best Split

Before Splitting:

C0	N00
C1	N01

 → M0

Gain = M0 - M12 vs M0 - M34

© Tan,Steinbach, Kumar Introduction to Data Mining 4/18/2004 31

Measure of Impurity: GINI

- Gini Index for a given node t :

$$GINI(t) = 1 - \sum_j [p(j|t)]^2$$
 (NOTE: $p(j|t)$ is the relative frequency of class j at node t).
- Maximum ($1 - 1/n_c$) when records are equally distributed among all classes, implying least interesting information
- Minimum (0.0) when all records belong to one class, implying most interesting information

C1	0
C2	6
Gini	0.000

C1	1
C2	5
Gini	0.278

C1	2
C2	4
Gini	0.444

C1	3
C2	3
Gini	0.500

© Tan,Steinbach, Kumar Introduction to Data Mining 4/18/2004 32

Examples for computing GINI

$$GINI(t) = 1 - \sum_j [p(j|t)]^2$$

C1	0
C2	6

P(C1) = 0/6 = 0 P(C2) = 6/6 = 1
Gini = 1 - P(C1)² - P(C2)² = 1 - 0 - 1 = 0

C1	1
C2	5

P(C1) = 1/6 P(C2) = 5/6
Gini = 1 - (1/6)² - (5/6)² = 0.278

C1	2
C2	4

P(C1) = 2/6 P(C2) = 4/6
Gini = 1 - (2/6)² - (4/6)² = 0.444

© Tan,Steinbach, Kumar Introduction to Data Mining 4/18/2004 33

Splitting Based on GINI

- Used in CART, SLIQ, SPRINT.
- When a node p is split into k partitions (children), the quality of split is computed as,

$$GINI_{split} = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{n_i}{n} GINI(i)$$
 where, n_i = number of records at child i, n = number of records at node p.

© Tan,Steinbach, Kumar Introduction to Data Mining 4/18/2004 34

Binary Attributes: Computing GINI Index

- Splits into two partitions
- Effect of Weighing partitions:
 - Larger and Purer Partitions are sought for.

GINI(N1) = 1 - (5/7)² - (2/7)² = 0.408

GINI(N2) = 1 - (1/5)² - (4/5)² = 0.32

GINI(Children) = 7/12 * 0.408 + 5/12 * 0.32 = 0.371

GINI(Parent) = 0.500

© Tan,Steinbach, Kumar Introduction to Data Mining 4/18/2004 35

Categorical Attributes: Computing Gini Index

- For each distinct value, gather counts for each class in the dataset
- Use the count matrix to make decisions

Multi-way split

		CarType		
		Family	Sports	Luxury
C1	1	2	1	
C2	4	1	1	
Gini		0.393		

Two-way split (find best partition of values)

		CarType {Sports, Luxury}		CarType {Family, Luxury}	
C1	3	1		2	2
C2	2	4		1	5
Gini	0.400		Gini		0.419

© Tan,Steinbach, Kumar Introduction to Data Mining 4/18/2004 36

Continuous Attributes: Computing Gini Index

- Use Binary Decisions based on one value
- Several Choices for the splitting value
 - Number of possible splitting values = Number of distinct values
- Each splitting value has a count matrix associated with it
 - Class counts in each of the partitions, $A < v$ and $A \geq v$
- Simple method to choose best v
 - For each v , scan the database to gather count matrix and compute its Gini index
 - Computationally Inefficient! Repetition of work.

Tid	Refund	Marital Status	Taxable Income	Cheat
1	Yes	Single	125K	No
2	No	Married	100K	No
3	No	Single	70K	No
4	Yes	Married	120K	No
5	No	Divorced	95K	Yes
6	No	Married	60K	No
7	Yes	Divorced	220K	No
8	No	Single	85K	Yes
9	No	Married	75K	No
10	No	Single	90K	Yes



Continuous Attributes: Computing Gini Index...

- For efficient computation: for each attribute,
 - Sort the attribute on values
 - Linearly scan these values, each time updating the count matrix and computing gini index
 - Choose the split position that has the least gini index

Sorted Values	Taxable Income																				
	60	70	75	85	90	95	100	120	125	220	Cheat										
Split Positions	55	65	72	80	87	92	97	110	122	172	230	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	
Yes	0	3	0	3	0	3	1	2	2	1	3	0	3	0	3	0	3	0	3	0	
No	0	7	1	6	2	5	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	5	2	6	1	7	0	
Gini	0.420	0.400	0.375	0.343	0.417	0.400	0.302	0.343	0.375	0.400	0.420										

Alternative Splitting Criteria based on INFO

- Entropy at a given node t :

$$Entropy(t) = -\sum_j p(j|t) \log p(j|t)$$

(NOTE: $p(j|t)$ is the relative frequency of class j at node t .)

- Measures homogeneity of a node.
 - Maximum ($\log n_c$) when records are equally distributed among all classes implying least information
 - Minimum (0.0) when all records belong to one class, implying most information
- Entropy based computations are similar to the GINI index computations

Examples for computing Entropy

$$Entropy(t) = -\sum_j p(j|t) \log_2 p(j|t)$$

C1	0
C2	6

$P(C1) = 0/6 = 0$ $P(C2) = 6/6 = 1$
 Entropy = $-0 \log 0 - 1 \log 1 = -0 - 0 = 0$

C1	1
C2	5

$P(C1) = 1/6$ $P(C2) = 5/6$
 Entropy = $-(1/6) \log_2 (1/6) - (5/6) \log_2 (5/6) = 0.65$

C1	2
C2	4

$P(C1) = 2/6$ $P(C2) = 4/6$
 Entropy = $-(2/6) \log_2 (2/6) - (4/6) \log_2 (4/6) = 0.92$

Splitting Based on INFO...

- Information Gain:

$$GAIN_{split} = Entropy(p) - \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{n_i}{n} Entropy(i) \right)$$

Parent Node, p is split into k partitions;
 n_i is number of records in partition i

- Measures Reduction in Entropy achieved because of the split. Choose the split that achieves most reduction (maximizes GAIN)
- Used in ID3 and C4.5
- Disadvantage: Tends to prefer splits that result in large number of partitions, each being small but pure.

Splitting Based on INFO...

- Gain Ratio:

$$GainRATIO_{split} = \frac{GAIN_{split}}{SplitINFO} \quad SplitINFO = -\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{n_i}{n} \log \frac{n_i}{n}$$

Parent Node, p is split into k partitions
 n_i is the number of records in partition i

- Adjusts Information Gain by the entropy of the partitioning (SplitINFO). Higher entropy partitioning (large number of small partitions) is penalized!
- Used in C4.5
- Designed to overcome the disadvantage of Information Gain

Splitting Criteria based on Classification Error

- Classification error at a node t :

$$Error(t) = 1 - \max_i P(i | t)$$

- Measures misclassification error made by a node.
 - Maximum ($1 - 1/n_c$) when records are equally distributed among all classes, implying least interesting information
 - Minimum (0.0) when all records belong to one class, implying most interesting information

Examples for Computing Error

$$Error(t) = 1 - \max_i P(i | t)$$


C1	0	P(C1) = 0/6 = 0	P(C2) = 6/6 = 1
C2	6	Error = 1 - max(0, 1) = 1 - 1 = 0	

C1	1	P(C1) = 1/6	P(C2) = 5/6
C2	5	Error = 1 - max(1/6, 5/6) = 1 - 5/6 = 1/6	

C1	2	P(C1) = 2/6	P(C2) = 4/6
C2	4	Error = 1 - max(2/6, 4/6) = 1 - 4/6 = 1/3	

Comparison among Splitting Criteria

For a 2-class problem:



Tree Induction

- Greedy strategy.
 - Split the records based on an attribute test that optimizes certain criterion.
- Issues
 - Determine how to split the records
 - How to specify the attribute test condition?
 - How to determine the best split?
 - Determine when to stop splitting

Stopping Criteria for Tree Induction

- Stop expanding a node when all the records belong to the same class
- Stop expanding a node when all the records have similar attribute values
- Early termination (to be discussed later)


Decision Tree Based Classification

- Advantages
 - Inexpensive to construct
 - Extremely fast at classifying unknown records
 - Easy to interpret for small-sized trees
 - Accuracy is comparable to other classification techniques for many simple data sets
- Disadvantages
 - accuracy may be affected by missing data

Practical Issues of Classification


- Underfitting and Overfitting
- Missing Values
- Costs of Classification

Underfitting and Overfitting




Underfitting: when model is too simple, both training and test errors are large

Overfitting due to Noise



Decision boundary is distorted by noise point

Overfitting due to Insufficient Examples



Lack of data points in the lower half of the diagram makes it difficult to predict correctly the class labels of that region

- Insufficient number of training records in the region causes the decision tree to predict the test examples using other training records that are irrelevant to the classification task

How to Address Overfitting

- **Pre-Pruning (Early Stopping Rule)**
 - Stop the algorithm before it becomes a fully-grown tree
 - Typical stopping conditions for a node:
 - ◆ Stop if all instances belong to the same class
 - ◆ Stop if all the attribute values are the same
 - More restrictive conditions:
 - ◆ Stop if number of instances is less than some user-specified threshold
 - ◆ Stop if class distribution of instances are independent of the available features (e.g., using χ^2 test)
 - ◆ Stop if expanding the current node does not improve impurity measures (e.g., Gini or information gain).

How to Address Overfitting...

- **Post-pruning**
 - Grow decision tree to its entirety
 - Trim the nodes of the decision tree in a bottom-up fashion
 - If generalization error improves after trimming, replace sub-tree by a leaf node.
 - Class label of leaf node is determined from majority class of instances in the sub-tree

Handling Missing Attribute Values

- Missing values affect decision tree construction in three different ways:
 - Affects how impurity measures are computed
 - Affects how to distribute instance with missing value to child nodes
 - Affects how a test instance with missing value is classified

Other Issues

- Data Fragmentation
- Search Strategy
- Expressiveness
- Tree Replication

Data Fragmentation

- Number of instances gets smaller as you traverse down the tree
- Number of instances at the leaf nodes could be too small to make any statistically significant decision



Search Strategy

- Finding an optimal decision tree is NP-hard
- The algorithm presented so far uses a greedy, top-down, recursive partitioning strategy to induce a reasonable solution
- Other strategies?
 - Bottom-up
 - Bi-directional

Expressiveness



- Decision tree provides expressive representation for learning discrete-valued function
 - But they do not generalize well to certain types of Boolean functions
 - ◆ Example: parity function:
 - Class = 1 if there is an even number of Boolean attributes with truth value = True
 - Class = 0 if there is an odd number of Boolean attributes with truth value = True
 - ◆ For accurate modeling, must have a complete tree
- Not expressive enough for modeling continuous variables
 - Particularly when test condition involves only a single attribute at-a-time

Decision Boundary




- Border line between two neighboring regions of different classes is known as decision boundary
- Decision boundary is parallel to axes because test condition involves a single attribute at-a-time

Oblique Decision Trees



- Test condition may involve multiple attributes
- More expressive representation
- Finding optimal test condition is computationally expensive

Tree Replication



- Same subtree appears in multiple branches