

Data Science Lab: Process and methods

Politecnico di Torino

Exam rules A.Y. 2022/2023

Last update: December 2022

1 Exam composition

The exam includes a group project and a written part. There will be a project assignment per exam session (i.e. the winter session will have one assignment). The final score is defined by considering the evaluation of the group project and the written part.

Assigned task. For each exam session, the project task will be published along with information on important dates for the corresponding project call.

Final grade. The maximum score is 32, subdivided as follows:

- Group project: max. 12 points (8 report, 4 performance)
- Written part: max. 20 points

The final grade is given by the sum of the grades of the two parts, rounded to the *closest* integer. The exam is passed if (i) the grade of the group project is greater than or equal to 8, (ii) the grade of the written part is greater than or equal to 10, and (iii) the overall grade is greater than or equal to 18. If the final score is greater than or equal to 31 the registered score will be 30 with honor. If the exam is failed, the exam failure is recorded.

Implicit rejection a student may choose to attend a written exam with a previous written score already on hold (see the rules below). If the written exam is evaluated (i.e. the student attends the exam and turns it in), the previous written score will be considered as rejected. Similarly, if a student delivers a project and its evaluation is requested (see below), the previous project score will be considered as rejected.

2 Group project

The project consists of designing and implementing a data science process for solving an assigned data analytics task. The proposed task will be either a classification or a regression problem.

The evaluation of the group project is based on (i) the performance and accuracy of the proposed solution, in terms of standard quality measures (e.g., prediction accuracy) and (ii) the quality of the

process (i.e., in-depth analysis of each phase of the designed process and motivation for selecting given techniques and algorithms).

2.1 Group rules

Groups may be comprised of up to two team members (single student submissions are allowed, but not encouraged). The group will deliver a **single** project (see Section 2.2).

Teams may vary for different project sessions. For each project session, group compositions will have to be specified before the start of the competition using the form that will be made available. Group composition submissions after the posted deadline will not be accepted. If the group includes only one member, this step is not required.

The report must be delivered on Portale della Didattica by only one of the team members. Submissions on the platform may be submitted by any of the group members (see details below).

2.2 Project rules

Duration. The problem specifications will be available at least 14 days before the final submission deadline.

Deliverables. The project is composed by the following three deliverables. For the project to be valid, **all** three deliverables must be uploaded.

1. The analysis result. It must be uploaded to the online submission platform provided for the exam session. Further details are provided in Section 2.3.
2. A report describing the steps performed in the project. Further details and guidelines are provided in Section 2.4.
3. The software used to obtain the above result. Further details are provided in Section 2.5.

Points. The maximum grade for the project is 12, subdivided as follows:

- quality of the report: 8 points
- performance of the proposed solution: 4 points

Project validity. As specified in Section 1, a new project will be published for each exam session (one for the Winter session, one for the Summer session and one for the Fall session). **The score achieved in the project is valid for the entire academic year (i.e. it will expire after the September 2023 exam session).**

Students may deliver multiple projects throughout the year (one per session). The submitted reports will be stored until September session included. However, submitted projects may be evaluated only when the written test is passed by any of the group members.

Upon communication of the written score, students with a passing grade can:

- *reject the written score*: in this case, no project will be evaluated for this session. Any submitted project can be re-used for later evaluation.

- *receive the correction of the project*: if the student submitted one or more projects, they can request for one of them to be evaluated. If only one project has been delivered, that project will be evaluated. If multiple projects have been delivered, the latest one will be evaluated, unless otherwise specified. If the overall score (project + written test) is sufficient, the student may request to reject the final grade: in that case, they will be rejecting both the written grade **and** the project grade. Rejecting only part of the exam is not allowed at this stage. If the overall score is insufficient, the student may either submit a new project at a later time (and preserve the written score), or attend a new written test (and preserve the project score).
- *place the written score on hold*: if the student has not delivered any report, the written score will be placed on hold. When a report is delivered, it will be evaluated and a final grade will be computed. If a student has already delivered a report but does not wish to have it evaluated, they may explicitly ask for this option to be enforced.

For each exam, a limited time window (of up to 3 days) will open following the publication of the written scores. During this time window, students will be allowed to request any of the above options. If no communication occurs, the default option will be the correction of the project if any exists, or the placement on hold of the written score if no project has been delivered.

Out-of-syllabus methodologies. The adoption of algorithms and methodologies that are not part of the course program is allowed. However, the proposed approach must be extensively motivated in the report and a **further oral examination** may be requested to complete the evaluation.

Additional data sources. It is possible to use additional data sources, provided that they are publicly available and that the sources' public links are cited in the report. In the report, a clear motivation for the adoption of these sources must be provided.

Further verification. In any case, a further assessment (oral or written) on the delivered project report and/or software may be required by the teachers to specific students.

2.3 Result submission

Each project call will have a dedicated online submission platform. The outcome of the implemented pipeline must be uploaded to the platform. The performance of the proposed solution is evaluated with a specific evaluation metric. The achieved score places the submission on a public leaderboard.

Dataset composition. The dataset of the proposed task is split into (a) a Development set and (b) an Evaluation set. The Development set comes with the information on the target variable and must be used for training and validation. The Evaluation set is used for the evaluation of the submission.

Leaderboard. The leaderboard is provided by the platform. It ranks all the submissions received for the current assignment. The leaderboard contains several baseline scores, as low and high thresholds. Only the lowest baseline is made available on the leaderboard.

To enforce the stability and reliability of the solution, the Evaluation set is split into two parts, namely *public* and *private*, and, whenever a new result is submitted, two scores are computed. The two parts have the same statistical distribution. Scores on the *public* part are used to compose the public leaderboard. Scores on the *private* one will not be publicly available.

Final evaluation. Only the scores achieved on the *private* part are considered for the final evaluation. Specifically, the final grade is given by two factors. First, the baseline reached by the proposed solution is considered. Then, points are normalized against all the solutions by other participants that reach the same baseline.

Note that the lowest baseline will be publicly available on the leaderboard.

Submission rules. The following is a list of rules enforced by the online submission platform.

- Maximum 100 submissions.
- Minimum 5 minutes between two consecutive submissions.
- Every submission will be recorded. Then, the student is allowed to choose at most 2 of them to be considered for the final evaluation. If more than one is chosen, only the best performing one, on the *private* part, is considered. If no submission is chosen, the best performing one on the *public* part is selected and its *private* score is considered.

As stated in Section 2.2 (withdrawal), if no solution is submitted before the deadline the project is considered as rejected.

2.4 Report submission

Only one report submission is allowed. If two solutions are selected for the evaluation, they both must be described in the same report. The report should describe the key steps and takeaways of the implemented pipeline. It must be structured using the following sections and subsections:

1. Problem overview
2. Proposed approach
 - (a) Preprocessing
 - (b) Model selection
 - (c) Hyperparameters tuning
3. Results
4. Discussion
5. References

The relevance of the contents and the quality of the presentation are evaluated.

Constraints on the report. The report must comply with the following constraints:

- The report **must** be generated using the standard IEEE conference template (available on the course website in \LaTeX). The LaTeX version may be used either locally, or on Overleaf.
- The report **must** follow the division in sections and subsections introduced in 2.4.
- The report **must be, at most, 4 pages long**, references excluded. You are not allowed to change font size, margins or anything else in the provided template.

Grading. The grading of the report will be based on:

- Relevance of the content
- Quality of the presentation
- Significance and relevance of images and tables
- Presence of key steps for the successful completion of the task
- Additional steps that helped achieve improved performance

Plagiarism. Students **must** deliver **original** content produced by themselves. Plagiarism is not allowed in any form (including copying from websites).

Non-compliance. A grade of 0 points will be assigned for the report in case of adoption of a non-complying template (i.e., a template different from the IEEE conference one, or a modified template). The maximum grade for the report is 8 points.

2.5 Software submission

The software solution must be written in Python. It must be organized either in a single Jupyter Notebook file or as a single Python script. If two solutions are selected, at most one file per solution can be used (e.g. solution1.py, solution2.py). All the software files must be uploaded in a single **ZIP file** (other extensions will not be accepted). Please note that the software submissions will only be used for automated reproducibility tests. Make sure that any and all contents that require evaluation (e.g. images, tables) are included as a part of the report.

Plagiarism. Students **must** deliver **original** content produced by themselves. Plagiarism is not allowed in any form (including copying from websites).

3 Written part

The written part covers the theoretical topics of the course. It includes multiple-choice and box-to-fill questions, based on solving exercises related to theoretical aspects.

The written exam takes place in presence in the premises of Politecnico di Torino.

Written exam validity. The score achieved in a written exam during the Academic Year 2022-23 is valid for the entire academic year (i.e. it will expire after the September 2023 exam session). Hence, students can attend a written test independently of the time in which they decide to deliver the project. **If a new the written exam is evaluated (i.e. the student attends the written exam and turns it in), the previous written score will be considered as rejected.**

Rules. The following is a list of strict rules for the written exam.

- Only students regularly booked through the “Portale della Didattica” are admitted for the written part.
- Students must show their own identity document with a photo.

- The written part lasts **90 minutes**.
- The written part includes up to **16 questions**. The maximum total score is 20/32.
- Books, notes, electronic devices of any kind (smartphones, smart watches, other PC/laptop/tablet, programmable calculators, etc.) **are not allowed**.
- For each question, one (for single-choice questions) or more (for multiple-choice questions) answers may be correct. The type of question will be clearly stated in the text. Wrong answers are penalized for all closed-ended questions.

Topics. Questions may address one or more of the following topics. Each question may require a practical application or an example.

- Data preparation: discretization, normalization, distance measures.
- Association rules: extraction algorithms (and practical examples), itemset types (e.g. closed, etc.), quality indices.
- Classification: algorithms, quality indices, validation strategies.
- Regression: algorithms, quality indices.
- Clustering: algorithms, quality indices.
- Time series: data characterization.
- Python notions and operations.

Provided material. All the formulas contained in both the lectures slides and laboratory texts will be provided. If needed, the definition will be included in the text of the exercise. For what concerns the Python language, questions will require the knowledge of the language rather than the actual API functions.