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## Association rules

## - Objective

- extraction of frequent correlations or pattern from a transactional database

Tickets at a supermarket counter

| TID | Items |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Bread, Coke, Milk |
| 2 | Beer, Bread |
| 3 | Beer, Coke, Diapers, Milk |
| 4 | Beer, Bread, Diapers, Milk |
| 5 | Coke, Diapers, Milk |
| $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |

- Association rule

$$
\text { diapers } \Rightarrow \text { beer }
$$

- $2 \%$ of transactions contains both items
- $30 \%$ of transactions containing diapers also contains beer


## Association rule mining

- A collection of transactions is given
- a transaction is a set of items
- items in a transaction are not ordered
- Association rule

$$
A, B \Rightarrow C
$$

- $A, B=$ items in the rule body
- $\mathrm{C}=$ item in the rule head
- The $\Rightarrow$ means co-occurrence

| TID | Items |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Bread, Coke, Milk |
| 2 | Beer, Bread |
| 3 | Beer, Coke, Diapers, Milk |
| 4 | Beer, Bread, Diapers, Milk |
| 5 | Coke, Diapers, Milk |
| $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |

- not causality
- Example
- coke, diapers $\Rightarrow$ milk


## Transactional formats

- Association rule extraction is an exploratory technique that can be applied to any data type
- A transaction can be any set of items
- Market basket data
- Textual data
- Structured data
- ...


## Transactional formats

- Textual data
- A document is a transaction
- Words in a document are items in the transaction
- Data example
- Doc1: algorithm analysis customer data mining relationship
- Doc2: customer data management relationship
- Doc3: analysis customer data mining relationship social
- Rule example

$$
\text { customer, relationship } \Rightarrow \text { data, mining }
$$

## Transactional formats

- Structured data
- A table row is a transaction
- Pairs (attribute, value) are items in the transaction
- Data example

| Refund | Marital <br> Status | Taxable <br> Income | Cheat |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No | Married | $<80 \mathrm{~K}$ | No |

- Transaction

Refund=no, MaritalStatus=married, TaxableIncome<80K, Cheat=No

- Rule example

Refund=No, MaritalStatus=Married $\Rightarrow$ Cheat $=$ No

## Definitions

- Itemset is a set including one or more items
- Example: \{Beer, Diapers\}
- $k$-itemset is an itemset that contains k items
- Support count (\#) is the frequency of occurrence of an itemset
- Example: \#\{Beer,Diapers\} = 2
- Support is the fraction of transactions that contain an itemset
- Example: sup(\{Beer, Diapers\}) $=2 / 5$
- Frequent itemset is an itemset whose support is greater than or equal to a minsup threshold


## Rule quality metrics

- Given the association rule

$$
A \Rightarrow B
$$

- A, B are itemsets
- Support is the fraction of transactions containing both A and B

$$
\frac{\#\{A, B\}}{|T|}
$$

- |T| is the cardinality of the transactional database
- a priori probability of itemset AB
- rule frequency in the database
- Confidence is the frequency of $B$ in transactions containing $A$

$$
\underline{\sup (A, B)}
$$

$$
\sup (A)
$$

- conditional probability of finding $B$ having found $A$
- "strength" of the " $\Rightarrow$ "


## Rule quality metrics: example

- From itemset \{Milk, Diapers\} the following rules may be derived
- Rule: Milk $\Rightarrow$ Diapers
- support
sup=\#\{Milk,Diapers $\} / \#$ trans. $=3 / 5=60 \%$
- confidence
conf=\#\{Milk,Diapers\}/\#\{Milk\}=3/4=75\%
- Rule: Diapers $\Rightarrow$ Milk
- same support

$$
s=60 \%
$$

- confidence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { conf } & =\#\{\text { Milk,Diapers }\} / \#\{\text { Diapers }\}=3 / 3 \\
& =100 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

| TID | Items |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Bread, Coke, Milk |
| 2 | Beer, Bread |
| 3 | Beer, Coke, Diapers, Milk |
| 4 | Beer, Bread, Diapers, Milk |
| 5 | Coke, Diapers, Milk |

## Association rule extraction

- Given a set of transactions T, association rule mining is the extraction of the rules satisfying the constraints
- support $\geq$ minsup threshold
- confidence $\geq$ minconfthreshold
- The result is
- complete (al/ rules satisfying both constraints)
- correct (only the rules satisfying both constraints)
- May add other more complex constraints


## Association rule extraction

- Brute-force approach
- enumerate all possible permutations (i.e., association rules)
- compute support and confidence for each rule
- prune the rules that do not satisfy the minsup and minconf constraints
- Computationally unfeasible
- Given an itemset, the extraction process may be split
- first generate frequent itemsets
- next generate rules from each frequent itemset
- Example
- Itemset
\{Milk, Diapers\} sup=60\%
- Rules

Milk $\Rightarrow$ Diapers (conf=75\%)
Diapers $\Rightarrow$ Milk (conf=100\%)

## Association rule extraction

(1) Extraction of frequent itemsets

- many different techniques
- level-wise approaches (Apriori, ...)
- approaches without candidate generation (FP-growth, ...)
- other approaches
- most computationally expensive step
- limit extraction time by means of support threshold
(2) Extraction of association rules
- generation of all possible binary partitioning of each frequent itemset
- possibly enforcing a confidence threshold


## Frequent Itemset Generation



## Frequent Itemset Generation

- Brute-force approach
- each itemset in the lattice is a candidate frequent itemset
- scan the database to count the support of each candidate
- match each transaction against every candidate
- Complexity ~ O(|T| $\left.2^{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{w}\right)$
- $|\mathrm{T}|$ is number of transactions
- d is number of items
- w is transaction length


## Improving Efficiency

- Reduce the number of candidates
- Prune the search space
- complete set of candidates is $2^{\mathrm{d}}$
- Reduce the number of transactions
- Prune transactions as the size of itemsets increases - reduce |T|
- Reduce the number of comparisons
- Equal to $|\mathrm{T}| 2^{\text {d }}$
- Use efficient data structures to store the candidates or transactions


## The Apriori Principle

"If an itemset is frequent, then all of its subsets must also be frequent"

- The support of an itemset can never exceed the support of any of its subsets
- It holds due to the antimonotone property of the support measure
- Given two arbitrary itemsets $A$ and $B$

$$
\text { if } A \subseteq B \text { then } \sup (A) \geq \sup (B)
$$

- It reduces the number of candidates


## The Apriori Principle



## Apriori Algorithm [Agr94]

- Level-based approach
- at each iteration extracts itemsets of a given length $k$
- Two main steps for each level
- (1) Candidate generation
- Join Step
- generate candidates of length $k+1$ by joining frequent itemsets of length $k$
- Prune Step
- apply Apriori principle: prune length k+1 candidate itemsets that contain at least one kitemset that is not frequent
- (2) Frequent itemset generation
- scan DB to count support for $k+1$ candidates
- prune candidates below minsup


## Apriori Algorithm [Agr94]

- Pseudo-code
$C_{k}$ : Candidate itemset of size k
$L_{k}$ : frequent itemset of size $k$
$L_{1}=\{$ frequent items $\} ;$
for ( $k=1 ; L_{k}!=\varnothing ; k++$ ) do begin
$C_{k+1}=$ candidates generated from $L_{k i}$ for each transaction $t$ in database do
increment the count of all candidates in $C_{k+1}$ that are contained in $t$
$L_{k+1}=$ candidates in $C_{k+1}$ satisfying minsup
end
return $\cup_{k} L_{k i}$


## Generating Candidates

- Sort $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{k}}$ candidates in lexicographical order
- For each candidate of length $k$
- Self-join with each candidate sharing same $L_{k-1}$ prefix
- Prune candidates by applying Apriori principle
- Example: given $L_{3}=\{a b c, a b d, a c d, a c e, b c d\}$
- Self-join
- abcd from $a b c$ and $a b d$
- acde from acd and ace
- Prune by applying Apriori principle
- acde is removed because ade, cde are not in $L_{3}$
- $C_{4}=\{a b c d\}$


## Apriori Algorithm: Example

Example DB

| TID | Items |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\{A, B\}$ |
| 2 | $\{B, C, D\}$ |
| 3 | $\{A, C, D, E\}$ |
| 4 | $\{A, D, E\}$ |
| 5 | $\{A, B, C\}$ |
| 6 | $\{A, B, C, D\}$ |
| 7 | $\{B, C\}$ |
| 8 | $\{A, B, C\}$ |
| 9 | $\{A, B, D\}$ |
| 10 | $\{B, C, E\}$ |

minsup>1

## Generate candidate 1-itemsets

Example DB

| TID | Items | $\begin{aligned} & 1^{\text {st } \mathrm{DB}} \\ & \square \mathrm{scan} \\ & \square \end{aligned}$ | $C_{1}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | \{A,B\} |  |  |  |
| 2 | $\{\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}\}$ |  | itemsets | sup |
| 3 | $\{A, C, D, E\}$ |  | \{A\} | 7 |
| 4 | $\{A, D, E\}$ |  | \{B\} | 8 |
| 5 | $\{A, B, C\}$ |  | \{C\} | 7 |
| 6 | $\{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}\}$ |  | \{D\} | 5 |
| 7 | $\{B, C\}$ |  | \{E\} | 3 |
| 8 | $\{A, B, C\}$ |  |  |  |
| 9 | $\{A, B, D\}$ |  |  |  |
| 10 | $\{B, C, E\}$ |  |  |  |
| ins | >1 |  |  |  |

## Prune infrequent candidates in $C_{1}$

Example DB

| TID | Items | $C_{1}$ |  |  | $\square L_{1} \equiv C_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}\}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | $\{B, C, D\}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1^{\text {st }} \mathrm{DB} \\ & \text { scan } \end{aligned}$ | itemsets | sup |  |
| 3 | $\{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{E}\}$ |  | \{A\} | 7 |  |
| 4 | $\{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{E}\}$ |  | \{B\} | 8 |  |
| 5 | $\{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}\}$ |  | \{C\} | 7 |  |
| 6 | $\{A, B, C, D\}$ |  | \{D\} | 5 |  |
| 7 | $\{\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}\}$ |  | \{E\} | 3 |  |

- All itemsets in set $C_{1}$ are frequent according to minsup>1
minsup>1


## Generate candidates from $L_{1}$



## Count support for candidates in $C_{2}$



## Prune infrequent candidates in $C_{2}$



## Generate candidates from $L_{2}$

| $L_{2}$ |  |
| :---: | ---: |
| itemsets | $\sup$ |
| $\{A, B\}$ | 5 |
| $\{A, C\}$ | 4 |
| $\{A, D\}$ | 4 |
| $\{A, E\}$ | 2 |
| $\{B, C\}$ | 6 |
| $\{B, D\}$ | 3 |
| $\{C, D\}$ | 3 |
| $\{C, E\}$ | 2 |
| $\{D, E\}$ | 2 |$\quad$| itemsets |
| :---: |
| $\{A, B, C\}$ |
| $\{A, B, D\}$ |
| $\{A, B, E\}$ |
| $\{A, C, D\}$ |
| $\{A, C, E\}$ |
| $A, D, E\}$ |
| $\{B, C, D\}$ |
| $\{C, D, E\}$ |

## Apply Apriori principle on $C_{3}$

| $L_{2}$ |  | $C_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| itemsets | sup | itemsets |
| $\{A, B\}$ | 5 | \{A,B,C\} |
| $\{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{C}\}$ | 4 | \{A,B,D\} |
| $\{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{D}\}$ | 4 | A |
| $\{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{E}\}$ | 2 |  |
| $\{\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}\}$ | 6 | \{A,C, ${ }^{\text {a }}$, A$\}$ |
| \{B,D\} | 3 | $\{A, D, E\}$ |
| $\{\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}\}$ | 3 | $\{\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}\}$ |
| \{C,E\} | 2 | \{C,D,E\} |
| \{D,E\} | 2 |  |

- Prune $\{A, B, E\}$
- Its subset $\{B, E\}$ is infrequent $\left(\{B, E\}\right.$ is not in $\left.L_{2}\right)$


## Count support for candidates in $C_{3}$



## Prune infrequent candidates in $C_{3}$

| $L_{2}$ |  |
| :---: | ---: |
| itemsets | sup |
| $\{A, B\}$ | 5 |
| $\{A, C\}$ | 4 |
| $\{A, D\}$ | 4 |
| $\{A, E\}$ | 2 |
| $\{B, C\}$ | 6 |
| $\{B, D\}$ | 3 |
| $\{C, D\}$ | 3 |
| $\{C, E\}$ | 2 |
| $\{D, E\}$ | 2 |


| $C_{3}$ |
| :--- |
| itemsets |
| $\{A, B, C\}$ |
| $\{A, B, D\}$ |
| 3rd |
| DB $, B, E\}$ |
| $\{A, C, D\}$ |
| $\{A, C, E\}$ |
| $\{A, D, E\}$ |
| $\{B, C, D\}$ |
| $\{C, D, E\}$ |


| $C_{3}$ | $L_{3}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| itemsets | sup |  |
| $\{A, B, C\}$ | 3 |  |
| $\{A, B, D\}$ | 2 |  |
| $\{A, C, D\}$ | 2 |  |
| $\{A, C, E\}$ | -1 |  |
| $\{A, D, E\}$ | 2 |  |
| $\{B, C, D\}$ | 2 |  |$\quad$| itemsets | sup |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\{A, B, C\}$ | 3 |
| $\{A, B, D\}$ | 2 |
| $\{A, C, D\}$ | 2 |
| $\{A, D, E\}$ | 2 |
| $\{B, C, D\}$ | 2 |

- $\{A, C, E\}$ and $\{C, D, E\}$ are actually infrequent
- They are discarded from $C_{3}$


## Generate candidates from $L_{3}$

$L_{3}$

| itemsets | sup |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\{A, B, C\}$ | 3 |
| $\{A, B, D\}$ | 2 |
| $\{A, C, D\}$ | 2 |
| $\{A, D, E\}$ | 2 |
| $\{B, C, D\}$ | 2 |$\quad$| $C_{4}$ |
| :---: |
| itemsets |
| $\{A, B, C, D\}$ |

## Apply Apriori principle on $C_{4}$

| $L_{3}$ |
| :--- |
| itemsets sup <br> $\{A, B, C\}$ 3 <br> $\{A, B, D\}$ 2 <br> $\{A, C, D\}$ 2 <br> $\{A, D, E\}$ 2 <br> $\{B, C, D\}$ 2$\gg$$C_{4}$ <br> itemsets <br> $\{A, B, C, D\}$ |

- Check if $\{A, C, D\}$ and $\{B, C, D\}$ belong to $L_{3}$
- $L_{3}$ contains all 3-itemset subsets of $\{A, B, C, D\}$
- $\{A, B, C, D\}$ is potentially frequent


## Count support for candidates in $C_{4}$



## Prune infrequent candidates in $C_{4}$



- $\{A, B, C, D\}$ is actually infrequent
- $\{A, B, C, D\}$ is discarded from $C_{4}$


## Final set of frequent itemsets

| Example DB |  | $L_{1}$ |  | $L_{2}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TID | Items | itemsets | sup | itemsets | sup |
|  |  | \{A\} | 7 | $\{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}\}$ | 5 |
| 1 | $\{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}\}$ | \{B\} | 8 | $\{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{C}\}$ | 4 |
| 2 | $\{B, C, D\}$ | \{C\} | 7 | $\{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{D}\}$ | 4 |
| 3 | $\{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{E}\}$ | \{D\} | 5 | $\{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{E}\}$ | 2 |
| 4 | $\{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{E}\}$ | \{E\} | 3 | $\{\mathrm{BB}, \mathrm{C}\}$ | 6 |
| 5 | \{A,B,C\} |  |  | $\{B, D\}$ | 3 |
| 6 | $\{A, B, C, D\}$ | $\xrightarrow{L}$ |  | $\{C, D\}$ | 3 |
| 7 | \{B,C\} | itemsets |  | \{C,E\} | 2 |
| 8 | $\{A, B, C\}$ | $\{A, B, C\}$ | 2 | \{D,E\} | 2 |
| 9 | $\{A, B, D\}$ | $\{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{D}\}$ | 2 |  |  |
| 10 | $\{\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{E}\}$ | \{A,C,D\} | 2 |  |  |
| minsup>1 |  | \{A,D,E\} | 2 |  |  |
|  |  | $\{\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}\}$ | 2 |  |  |

## Counting Support of Candidates

- Scan transaction database to count support of each itemset
- total number of candidates may be large
- one transaction may contain many candidates
- Approach [Agr94]
- candidate itemsets are stored in a hash-tree
- leafnode of hash-tree contains a list of itemsets and counts
- interior node contains a hash table
- subset function finds all candidates contained in a transaction
- match transaction subsets to candidates in hash tree


## Performance Issues in Apriori

- Candidate generation
- Candidate sets may be huge
- 2-itemset candidate generation is the most critical step
- extracting long frequent intemsets requires generating all frequent subsets
- Multiple database scans
- $n+1$ scans when longest frequent pattern length is $n$


## Factors Affecting Performance

- Minimum support threshold
- lower support threshold increases number of frequent itemsets - larger number of candidates - larger (max) length of frequent itemsets
- Dimensionality (number of items) of the data set
- more space is needed to store support count of each item
- if number of frequent items also increases, both computation and I/O costs may also increase
- Size of database
- since Apriori makes multiple passes, run time of algorithm may increase with number of transactions
- Average transaction width
- transaction width increases in dense data sets
- may increase max length of frequent itemsets and traversals of hash tree - number of subsets in a transaction increases with its width


## Improving Apriori Efficiency

- Hash-based itemset counting [Yu95]
- A $k$-itemset whose corresponding hashing bucket count is below the threshold cannot be frequent
- Transaction reduction [Yu95]
- A transaction that does not contain any frequent k-itemset is useless in subsequent scans
- Partitioning [Sav96]
- Any itemset that is potentially frequent in DB must be frequent in at least one of the partitions of DB


## Improving Apriori Efficiency

- Sampling [Toi96]
- mining on a subset of given data, lower support threshold + a method to determine the completeness
- Dynamic Itemset Counting [Motw98]
- add new candidate itemsets only when all of their subsets are estimated to be frequent


## FP-growth Algorithm [Han00]

- Exploits a main memory compressed representation of the database, the FP-tree
- high compression for dense data distributions
- less so for sparse data distributions
- complete representation for frequent pattern mining
- enforces support constraint
- Frequent pattern mining by means of FP-growth
- recursive visit of FP-tree
- applies divide-and-conquer approach
- decomposes mining task into smaller subtasks
- Only two database scans
- count item supports + build FP-tree


## FP-tree construction

Example DB

| TID | Items |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\{\mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}\}$ |
| 2 | $\{\mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}\}$ |
| 3 | $\{\mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{E}\}$ |
| 4 | $\{\mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{E}\}$ |
| 5 | $\{\mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{C}\}$ |
| 6 | $\{\mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}\}$ |
| 7 | $\{\mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{C}\}$ |
| 8 | $\{\mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{C}\}$ |
| 9 | $\{\mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{D}\}$ |
| 10 | $\{\mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{E}\}$ |

minsup>1

- (1) Count item support and prune items below minsup threshold
- (2) Build Header Table by sorting items in decreasing support order


## Header Table

| Item | sup |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\{B\}$ | 8 |
| $\{A\}$ | 7 |
| $\{C\}$ | 7 |
| $\{D\}$ | 5 |
| $\{E\}$ | 3 |

## FP-tree construction

Example DB

| TID | Items |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\{\mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}\}$ |
| 2 | $\{\mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}\}$ |
| 3 | $\{\mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{E}\}$ |
| 4 | $\{\mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{E}\}$ |
| 5 | $\{\mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{C}\}$ |
| 6 | $\{\mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}\}$ |
| 7 | $\{\mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{C}\}$ |
| 8 | $\{\mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{C}\}$ |
| 9 | $\{\mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{D}\}$ |
| 10 | $\{\mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{E}\}$ |

minsup>1

- (1) Count item support and prune items below minsup threshold
- (2) Build Header Table by sorting items in decreasing support order
- (3) Create FP-tree

For each transaction $t$ in DB

- order transaction $t$ items in decreasing support order
- same order as Header Table
- insert transaction $t$ in FP-tree
- use existing path for common prefix
- create new branch when path becomes different


## FP-tree construction

| Transaction |
| :---: |
| TID Items <br> 1 $\{A, B\}$ | | TID | Items |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\{B, A\}$ |



## FP-tree construction

Transaction

| TID | Items |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | $\{B, C, D\}$ |$\quad \square$| TID | Items |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | $\{B, C, D\}$ |



## FP-tree construction

| Transaction |
| :--- |
| TID Items <br> 3 $\{A, C, D, E\}$ |$|$| TID | Items |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | $\{A, C, D, E\}$ |

Header Table

| Item | sup |
| ---: | :---: |
| $\{B\}$ | 8 |
| $\{A\}$ | 7 |
| $\{C\}$ | 7 |
| $\{D\}$ | 5 |
| $\{E\}$ | 3 |



## FP-tree construction

| Transaction |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| TID Items <br> 4 $\{A, D, E\}$ |  | | TID | Items |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | $\{A, D, E\}$ |



## FP-tree construction

| Transaction |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| TID Items <br> 5 $\{A, B, C\}$ |  | | TID | Items |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | $\{B, A, C\}$ |



## FP-tree construction

Transaction

| TID | Items |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | $\{A, B, C, D\}$ |$\square$| TID | Items |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | $\{B, A, C, D\}$ |

Header Table

| Item | sup |
| ---: | :---: |
| $\{B\}$ | 8 |
| $\{A\}$ | 7 |
| $\{C\}$ | 7 |
| $\{D\}$ | 5 |
| $\{E\}$ | 3 |



## FP-tree construction

| Transaction |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| TID Items <br> 7 $\{B, C\}$ |  |$\quad$| TID | Items |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | $\{B, C\}$ |

Header Table

| Item | sup |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\{\mathrm{B}\}$ | 8 |
| $\{\mathrm{~A}\}$ | 7 |
| $\{\mathrm{C}\}$ | 7 |
| $\{\mathrm{D}\}$ | 5 |
| $\{\mathrm{E}\}$ | 3 |



## FP-tree construction

Transaction

| TID | Items |
| :---: | :---: |
| 8 | $\{A, B, C\}$ |$\quad$| TID | Items |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | $\{B, A, C\}$ |

Header Table

| Item | sup |
| ---: | :---: |
| $\{B\}$ | 8 |
| $\{A\}$ | 7 |
| $\{C\}$ | 7 |
| $\{D\}$ | 5 |
| $\{E\}$ | 3 |



## FP-tree construction

| Transaction |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| TID Items <br> 9 $\{\mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{D}\}$ |  |$\quad$| TID | Items |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | $\{\mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{D}\}$ |



## FP-tree construction

| Transaction |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| TID Items <br> 10 $\{B, C, E\}$ |  |
| 10 | $\{B, C, E\}$ |

Header Table

| Item | sup |
| ---: | :---: |
| $\{B\}$ | 8 |
| $\{A\}$ | 7 |
| $\{C\}$ | 7 |
| $\{D\}$ | 5 |
| $\{E\}$ | 3 |



## Final FP-tree



Item pointers are used to assist frequent itemset generation

## FP-growth Algorithm

- Scan Header Table from lowest support item up
- For each item i in Header Table extract frequent itemsets including item i and items preceding it in Header Table
- (1) build Conditional Pattern Base for item i (i-CPB)
- Select prefix-paths of item i from FP-tree
- (2) recursive invocation of FP-growth on i-CPB


## Example

- Consider item D and extract frequent itemsets including
- D and supported combinations of items A, B, C



## Conditional Pattern Base of D

- (1) Build D-CPB
- Select prefix-paths of item D from FP-tree



## Conditional Pattern Base of D Header Table \{ \} FP-tree



## Conditional Pattern Base of D <br> Header Table <br> \{ \} FP-tree



## Conditional Pattern Base of D <br> Header Table <br> \{ \} FP-tree



## Conditional Pattern Base of D <br> Header Table <br> \{ \} FP-tree



## Conditional Pattern Base of D <br> Header Table <br> \{ \} FP-tree



## Conditional Pattern Base of D

- (1) Build D-CPB
- Select prefix-paths of item D from FP-tree

- (2) Recursive invocation of FP-growth on D-CPB


## Conditional Pattern Base of DC

- (1) Build DC-CPB
- Select prefix-paths of item C from D-conditional FP-tree



## Conditional Pattern Base of DC

- (1) Build DC-CPB
- Select prefix-paths of item C from D-conditional FP-tree

- (2) Recursive invocation of FP-growth on DC-CPB


## Conditional Pattern Base of DCB

- (1) Build DCB-CPB
- Select prefix-paths of item B from DC-conditional FP-tree



## Conditional Pattern Base of DCB

- (1) Build DCB-CPB
- Select prefix-paths of item B from DC-conditional FP-tree

- Item $A$ is infrequent in DCB-CPB
- A is removed from DCB-CPB
- DCB-CPB is empty

- (2) The search backtracks to DC-CPB


## Conditional Pattern Base of DCA

- (1) Build DCA-CPB
- Select prefix-paths of item A from DC-conditional FP-tree

- (2) The search backtracks to D-CBP


## Conditional Pattern Base of DB

- (1) Build DB-CPB
- Select prefix-paths of item B from D-conditional FP-tree


DB, $\sup (D B)=3$

## Conditional Pattern Base of DB

- (1) Build DB-CPB
- Select prefix-paths of item B from D-conditional FP-tree

- (2) Recursive invocation of FP-growth on DB-CPB


## Conditional Pattern Base of DBA

- (1) Build DBA-CPB
- Select prefix-paths of item A from DB-conditional FP-tree

- (2) The search backtracks to D-CBP


## Conditional Pattern Base of DA

- (1) Build DA-CPB
- Select prefix-paths of item A from D-conditional FP-troe ${ }_{\text {D }}$-conditional

|  |  | D-conditional | \{ $\}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Items | sup |  |  |
| \{B,A,C $\}$ | 1 |  |  |


| $\{B, A\}$ | 1 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\{B, C\}$ | 1 |
| $\{A, C\}$ | 1 |
| $\{A\}$ | 1 |



## Frequent itemsets with prefix D

- Frequent itemsets including $D$ and supported combinations of items B,A,C Example DB



## Other approaches

- Many other approaches to frequent itemset extraction
- May exploit a different database representation
- represent the tidset of each item [Zak00]

| Horizontal |
| :---: |
| Data Layout |


| TID | Items |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1 | A,B,E |
| 2 | B,C,D |
| 3 | C,E |
| 4 | A,C,D |
| 5 | A,B,C,D |
| 6 | A,E |
| 7 | A,B |
| 8 | A,B,C |
| 9 | A,C,D |
| 10 | B |

Vertical Data Layout

| A | B | C | D | E |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 4 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 3 |
| 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |  |
| 7 | 8 | 9 |  |  |
| 8 | 10 |  |  |  |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |

## Compact Representations

- Some itemsets are redundant because they have identical support as their supersets

| TID | A1 | A2 | A3 | A4 | A5 | A6 | A7 | A8 | A9 | A10 | B1 | B2 | B3 | B4 | B5 | B6 | B7 | B8 | B9 | B10 | C1 | C2 | C3 | C4 | C5 | C6 | C7 | C8 | C9 | C10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

- Number of frequent itemsets $=3 \times \sum_{k=1}^{10}\binom{10}{k}$
- A compact representation is needed


## Maximal Frequent Itemset

An itemset is frequent maximal if none of its immediate supersets


## Closed Itemset

- An itemset is closed if none of its immediate supersets has the same support as the itemset

| TID | Items |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\{A, B\}$ |
| 2 | $\{B, C, D\}$ |
| 3 | $\{A, B, C, D\}$ |
| 4 | $\{A, B, D\}$ |
| 5 | $\{A, B, C, D\}$ |


| itemset | sup |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\{A\}$ | 4 |
| $\{B\}$ | 5 |
| $\{C\}$ | 3 |
| $\{D\}$ | 4 |
| $\{A, B\}$ | 4 |
| $\{A, C\}$ | 2 |
| $\{A, D\}$ | 3 |
| $\{B, C\}$ | 3 |
| $\{B, D\}$ | 4 |
| $\{C, D\}$ | 3 |


| itemset | sup |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\{A, B, C\}$ | 2 |
| $\{A, B, D\}$ | 3 |
| $\{A, C, D\}$ | 2 |
| $\{B, C, D\}$ | 3 |
| $\{A, B, C, D\}$ | 2 |

## Maximal vs Closed Itemsets

| TID | Items |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | ABC |
| 2 | ABCD |
| 3 | BCE |
| 4 | ACDE |
| 5 | DE |



## Maximal vs Closed Frequent Itemsets



## Maximal vs Closed Itemsets



[^0]
## Effect of Support Threshold

- Selection of the appropriate minsup threshold is not obvious
- If minsup is too high
- itemsets including rare but interesting items may be lost
- example: pieces of jewellery (or other expensive products)
- If minsup is too low
- it may become computationally very expensive
- the number of frequent itemsets becomes very large


## Interestingness Measures

- A large number of pattern may be extracted
- rank patterns by their interestingness
- Objective measures
- rank patterns based on statistics computed from data
- initial framework [Agr94] only considered support and confidence - other statistical measures available
- Subjective measures
- rank patterns according to user interpretation [Silb98] - interesting if it contradicts the expectation of a user - interesting if it is actionable


## Confidence measure: always reliable?

- 5000 high school students are given
- 3750 eat cereals
- 3000 play basket
- 2000 eat cereals and play basket

|  | basket | not basket | total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| cereals | 2000 | 1750 | 3750 |
| not cereals | 1000 | 250 | 1250 |
| total | 3000 | 2000 | 5000 |

- Rule

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { play basket } \Rightarrow \text { eat cereals } \\
& \text { sup }=40 \%, \text { conf }=66,7 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

is misleading because eat cereals has sup $75 \%$ ( $>66,7 \%$ )

- Problem caused by high frequency of rule head
- negative correlation


## Correlation or lift

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{r}: \mathrm{A} \Rightarrow \mathrm{~B} \\
\text { Correlation }=\frac{P(A, B)}{P(A) P(B)}=\frac{\operatorname{conf}(\mathrm{r})}{\sup (\mathrm{B})}
\end{gathered}
$$

- Statistical independence
- Correlation = 1
- Positive correlation
- Correlation > 1
- Negative correlation
- Correlation < 1


## Example

- Association rule
play basket $\Rightarrow$ eat cereals
has corr $=0.89$
- negative correlation
- but rule

$$
\text { play basket } \Rightarrow \text { not (eat cereals) }
$$

has corr $=1,34$

| \# | Measure | Formula |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\phi$-coefficient | $P(A, B)-P(A) P(B)$ |
| 2 | ¢-coemclent | ${ }^{\sqrt{2}} \begin{aligned} & \text { P(A)P(B)(1-P(A))(1-P(B))} \\ & \sum_{j} \max _{k} P\left(A_{j}, B_{k}\right)+\sum_{k} \max _{j} P\left(A_{j}, B_{k}\right)-\max _{j} P\left(A_{j}\right)-\max _{k} P\left(B_{k}\right)\end{aligned}$ |
| 2 | Goodman-Kruskal's ( $\lambda$ ) |  |
| 3 | Odds ratio ( $\alpha$ ) | $\frac{P(A, B) P(\bar{A}, \bar{B})}{P(A, \bar{B}) P(\overline{\bar{A}, B})}$ |
| 4 | Yule's $Q$ | $\frac{P(A, B) P(\overline{A B})-P(A, \bar{B}) P(\bar{A}, B)}{P(A, B) P(\overline{A B})+P(A, \bar{B}) P(\bar{A}, B)}=\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha+1}$ |
| 5 | Yule's $Y$ | $\frac{\sqrt{P(A, B) P(\overline{A B})}-\sqrt{P(A, \bar{B}) P(\bar{A}, B)}}{\sqrt{\text { P }} \text { 仡 }}$ |
| 5 | Yıe's $Y$ |  |
| 6 | Kappa ( $\kappa$ ) |  |
| 7 | Mutual Information ( $M$ ) | $\frac{\sum_{i} \sum_{j} P\left(A_{i}, B_{j}\right) \log \frac{1}{P\left(A_{i}\right) P\left(B_{j}\right)}}{\min \left(-\sum_{i} P\left(A_{i}\right) \log P\left(A_{i}\right),-\sum_{j} P\left(B_{j}\right) \log P\left(B_{j}\right)\right)}$ |
| 8 | J-Measure ( $J$ ) | $\begin{array}{r} \max \left(P(A, B) \log \left(\frac{P(B \mid A)}{P(B)}\right)+P(A \bar{B}) \log \left(\frac{P(\bar{B} \mid A)}{P(\bar{B})}\right)\right. \\ \left.P(A, B) \log \left(\frac{P(A \mid B)}{P(A)}\right)+P(\bar{A} B) \log \left(\frac{P(\bar{A} \mid \bar{B})}{P(\bar{A})}\right)\right) \end{array}$ |
| 9 | Gini index (G) | $\begin{gathered} \max \left(P(A)\left[P(B \mid A)^{\mathrm{a}}+P(\bar{B} \mid A)^{\mathrm{a}}\right]+P(\bar{A})\left[P(B \mid \bar{A})^{\mathrm{a}}+P(\bar{B} \mid \bar{A})^{\mathrm{a}}\right]\right. \\ \quad-P(B)^{\mathrm{a}}-P(\bar{B})^{\mathrm{a}}, \\ P(B)\left[P(A \mid B)^{\mathrm{a}}+P(\bar{A} \mid B)^{\mathrm{a}}\right]+P(\bar{B})\left[P(A \mid \bar{B})^{\mathrm{a}}+P(\bar{A} \mid \bar{B})^{\mathrm{a}}\right] \\ \left.\quad-P(A)^{\mathrm{a}}-P(\bar{A})^{\mathrm{a}}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| 10 | Support (s) | $P(A, B)$ |
| 11 | Confidence ( $c$ ) | $\max (P(B \mid A), P(A \mid B))$ |
| 12 | Laplace ( $L$ ) | $\max \left(\frac{N P(A, B)+1}{N P(A)+a}, \frac{N P(A, B)+1}{N P(\underline{B})+a}\right)$ |
| 13 | Conviction ( $V$ ) | $\max \left(\frac{P(A) P(\bar{B})}{P(A \bar{B})}, \frac{P(B) P(\bar{A})}{P(B \bar{A})}\right)$ |
| 14 | Interest ( $I$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{P(A, B)}{P(A) P(B)} \\ & \hline P(A, B) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 15 | cosine ( $I S$ ) | $\frac{P(A, B)}{\sqrt{P(A) P(B)}}$ |
| 16 | Piatetsky-Shapiro's (PS) | $P(A, B)-P(A) P(B)$ |
| 17 | Certainty factor ( $F$ ) | $\max \left(\frac{P(B \mid A)-P(B)}{1-P(B)}, \frac{P(A \mid B)-P(A)}{1-P(A)}\right)$ |
| 18 | Added Value ( $A V$ ) | $\max (P(B \mid A)-P(B), P(A \mid B)-P(A))$ |
| 19 | Collective strength ( $S$ ) | $\frac{P(A, B)+P(\overline{A B})}{P(A) P(B)+P(\bar{A}) P(\bar{B})} \times \frac{1-P(A) P(B)-P(\bar{A}) P(\bar{B})}{1-P(A, B)-P(\overline{A B})}$ |
| 20 | Jaccard ( $\zeta$ ) | $\frac{P(A, B)}{P(A)+P(B)-P(A, B)}$ |
| 21 | Klosgen ( $K$ ) | $\sqrt{P(A, B)} \max (P(B \mid A)-P(B), P(A \mid B)-P(A))$ |


[^0]:    From: Tan,Steinbach, Kumar, Introduction to Data Mining, McGraw Hill 2006

