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## Introduction to the regression analysis

- Objectives
- Prediction of a numerical target variable
- Definition of an interpretable model of a given phenomenon



## Introduction to the regression analysis

- Approach discussed in this set of slides
- Linear regression
- SVMs (SVR)
- Other approaches
- k-Nearest Neighbours
- Decision trees
- . •


## training data


new data with predictions


## Introduction to the regression analysis

- Requirements
- accuracy
- interpretability
- scalability
- noise and outlier management



## Introduction to the regression analysis

- Applications
- Estimating the cost of a house
- Estimating the remaining useful life (RUL) of an industrial equipment
- Industrial Vehicle Usage Predictions
- Predicting the Number of Free Floating Car Sharing Vehicles within Urban Areas



## Introduction to regression

- The term "regression" was coined by Francis Galton in 1877 to describe a biological phenomenon
- the heights of descendants of tall ancestors tend to regress down towards a normal average (i.e., regression toward the mean)
- Father of regression Carl F. Gauss (1777-1855)


## Definition

- Given
- A numerical target attribute
- A collection of data objects also characterized by the target attribute
- The regression task finds a model that allows predicting the target variable value of new objects through
- $y=f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots x_{n}\right)$


## Regression analysis

- Regression analysis can be classified based on
- Number of explanatory variables
- Simple regression: single explanatory variable
- Multiple regression: includes any number of explanatory variables
- Types of relationship
- Linear regression: straight-line relationship
- Non-linear: implies curved relationships (e.g., logarithmic relationships)
- Temporal dimension
- Cross Sectional: data gathered from the same time period
- Time Series: involves data observed over equally spaced points in time


# Linear regression 
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## Simple linear regression

$$
y=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x
$$

- The regression line provides an interpretable model of the phenomenon under analysis
- $y$ : estimated (or predicted) value
- $\beta_{0}$ : estimation of the regression intercept
- The intercept represents the estimated value of $y$ when $x$ assumes 0
- $\beta_{1}$ : estimation of the regression slope
- $x$ : independent variable


## Simple linear regression

$$
y=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x
$$

- Least squares method
- $\beta_{0}$ and $\beta_{1}$ can be obtained by minimizing the Residual sum of squares (RSS) that is the sum of the squared residuals
- differences between actual values $(y)$ and estimated ones $(\hat{y})$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \min R S S=\min \sum_{i}\left(y_{i}-\widehat{y_{i}}\right)^{2}= \\
& \min \sum_{i}\left(y_{i}-\left(\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{i}\right)\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Estimation of the parameters by least squares

$$
\begin{gathered}
y=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x \\
\beta_{1}=\frac{\Sigma_{i}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)}{\Sigma_{i}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}} \\
\beta_{0}=\bar{y}-\beta_{1} \bar{x}
\end{gathered}
$$

- where $\bar{y}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} y_{i}$ and $\bar{x}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} x_{i}$ are the sample means


## Simple linear regression: example



- Goal of a real estate agency
- Estimate the selling price of a home based on the value of size in square feet
- Simple linear regression finds a linear model of the problem
- $x=$ Size in feet ${ }^{2}$
- $y=$ Price ( $\$$ ) in 1000's

$$
y=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x
$$

## Simple linear regression: example

- $\beta_{0}$ : The intercept represents the estimated value of $y$ when $x$ assumes 0
- No house had 0 square feet, but $\beta_{0}$ is the portion of house price not explained by square feet
- $\beta_{1}$ : the slope measures the estimated change in the $y$ value as for every oneunit change in $x$
- The average value of a square foot of size


## Multiple linear regression

$$
y=f(x)=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{1}+\beta_{2} x_{2}+\beta_{3} x_{3}+\ldots+\beta_{n} x_{n}+\xi
$$

- Dependant variable ( $\gamma$ ): the single variable being explained/ predicted by the regression model
- Independent or explanatory variables $\left(x_{i}\right)$ : The variables used to predict/explain the dependant variable
- Coefficients $\left(\beta_{i}\right)$ : values, computed by the regression task, reflecting explanatory to dependent variable relationships
- Residuals ( $\xi$ ): the portion of the dependent variable that is not explained by the model
- The model performs under or over predictions


## Interpretating regression coefficients

- Uncorrelated predictors
- Each coefficient can be estimated and tested separately
- Interpretation: a unit change in $x_{i}$ is associated with a $\beta_{i}$ change in $y_{\text {, while }}$ all the other variables stay fixed
- $\beta_{i}$ represents the average effect on y of a one unit increase in $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}$, holding all other predictors fixed
- Correlation among predictors cause problems
- The variance of all coefficients tends to increase, sometimes dramatically
- Interpretations become complex: when $x_{j}$ changes, everything else changes
- The claim of causality should be avoided for the observational data


## Feature selection

- In case of a high dimensional data set, in terms of number of dependent variables, some of the variables might provide redundant information.
- Feature selection and removal (correlation-based approach)
- simplifying the model computation
- improving the model performance
- Enhancing the model interpretation (i.e., better explainability of the dependent variables)
- Variable/feature selection
- Driven by the business understanding and domain knowledge
- Feature selection based on correlation test
- Features highly-correlated with other attributes could be discarded from the analysis
- having dependence or association in any statistical relationship, whether causal or not


## Polynomial regression

- The polynomial models can be used in those situations where the relationship between dependent and explanatory variables is curvilinear.
- Polynomial regression consists of:
- Computing new features that are power functions of the input features
- Applying linear regression on these new features

$$
\begin{gathered}
y=\beta+\beta_{1} x+\beta_{2} x^{2}+\varepsilon \\
y=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{1}+\beta_{2} x_{2}+\beta_{11} x_{1}^{2}+\beta_{22} x_{2}^{2}+\beta_{12} x_{1} x_{2}+\varepsilon
\end{gathered}
$$

- The above models are also linear (i.e., A model is linear when it is linear in parameters)
- They are the second order polynomials in one and two variables respectively.
- Sometimes a nonlinear relationship in a small range of explanatory variables can also be modeled by polynomials.


## Polynomial model in one variable

- The $\mathrm{k}^{\text {th }}$ order polynomial model in one variable is given by

$$
y=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x+\beta_{2} x^{2}+\cdots+\beta_{k} x^{k}+\varepsilon
$$

- It is included in the linear regression model below

$$
y=X \beta+\varepsilon
$$

- Techniques for fitting linear model can be used for fitting the polynomial regression model
- For example, $y=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x+\beta_{2} x^{2}$
- Is a polynomial regression model in one variable and is called as second order model or quadratic model, where the coefficients
- $\beta_{1}$ is the linear effect parameter
- $\beta_{2}$ is the quadratic effect parameter
- The polynomial models can be used to approximate a complex nonlinear relationship


## Polynomial model in one variable

## Example.

Second order model or quadratic model


## Polynomial regression: considerations in case of one variable

- Order of the model
- Keep the order of the polynomial model as low as possible
- Up to the second order polynomial
- If necessary, you should apply some data transformations
- Arbitrary fitting of higher order polynomials can be a serious abuse of regression analysis.
- Data overfitting issue
- Different model building strategies do not necessarily lead to the same model
- Forward selection procedure: to successively fit the models in increasing order and test the significance of regression coefficients at each step of model fitting.
- Keep the order increasing until t-test for the highest order term is nonsignificant
- The significance of highest order term is tested through the null hypothesis
- Backward elimination: to fit the appropriate highest order model and then delete terms one at a time starting with highest order. This is continued until the highest order remaining term has a significant t-test
- The first and second order polynomials are mostly used in practice.


## Polynomial models in two or more variables

- The techniques of fitting of polynomial model in one variable can be extended to fitting of polynomial models in two or more variables.
- A second order polynomial is more used in practice and its model is specified by

$$
y=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{1}+\beta_{2} x_{2}+\beta_{11} x_{1}^{2}+\beta_{22} x_{2}^{2}+\beta_{12} x_{1} x_{2}+\varepsilon
$$

- This is also called response surface.


## Strong and weak points of Polynomial Regression

- Advantages of using Polynomial Regression:
- Broad range of function can be fit under it.
- Polynomial basically fits wide range of curvature.
- Polynomial usually provides the best approximation of the relationship between dependent and independent variable.
- Disadvantages of using Polynomial Regression
- They are too sensitive to the outliers.
- The presence of a few outliers in the data can seriously affect the results of a nonlinear analysis.
- Higher polynomial degree means higher flexibility of your model, but also data overfitting
- Overfitting occurs in those cases when you have a few samples and a model that has high flexibility
- It is always possible for a polynomial of order ( $n-1$ ) to pass through $n$ points so that a polynomial of sufficiently high degree can always be found that provides a "good" fit to the data.
- Those models never enhance the understanding of the unknown function and they are never good predictors.


## To avoid data overfitting

- Use more training data (if possible)
- Use lower model complexity
- Use regularization techniques
- e.g., Ridge and Lasso


# RIDGE and LASSO 
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## RIDGE and LASSO

- Regression analysis methods that perform both variable selection and regularization in order to enhance the prediction accuracy and interpretability of the statistical model it produces.
- Useful to reduce model complexity and prevent overfitting when
- The number of variables describing each observation exceeds the number of observations
- The number of variables does not exceed the number of observations, but the learned model suffers from poor generalization.
- Techniques of training a linear regression (or a linear regression with polynomial features)
- They try to assign values closer to zero (RIDGE) or zero (LASSO) to the coefficients assigned to features that are not useful for the regression
- The effect is the decreasing of the complexity of the model


## Regularization: RIDGE and LASSO

Cost function
Linear regression

$$
\operatorname{RSS}=\sum_{i}\left(y_{i}-\widehat{y_{i}}\right)^{2}=\sum_{i}\left(y_{i}-\beta_{0}-\sum_{j=\beta_{j}}^{p} x_{i j}\right)^{2}
$$

## Ridge regression

$$
R S S+\lambda \sum_{j=1}^{p} \beta_{j}^{2}
$$

## Lasso regression

$$
R S S+\lambda \sum_{j=1}^{p}\left|\beta_{j}\right|
$$

Penalty term $\lambda \rightarrow$ amount of shrinkage (or constraint)

## Regularization

## Ridge regression

- It adds L2 as the penalty
- L2 is the sum of the square of the magnitude of beta coefficients

$$
R S S+\lambda \sum_{j=1}^{p} \beta_{j}^{2}
$$

This is equivalent to minimizing the RSS under the condition

$$
\text { For } c>0, \sum_{j=1}^{p} \beta_{j}^{2}<c
$$

- Penalty term $\lambda \rightarrow$ amount of shrinkage (or constraint)
- Regularizes the coefficients, penalizing coefficients taking large values
- LASSO means Least Absolute Shrinkage and Selection Operator
- Term coined by Robert Tibshirani in 1996, but it was originally introduced in geophysics literature 10 years before
- Lasso regularization was originally defined for least squares, but it is easily extended to a wide variety of statistical models in a straightforward fashion
- E.g., generalized linear models
- The Lasso's variable selection relies on the form of the constraint
- It forces the sum of the absolute value of the regression coefficients to be less than a fixed constraint, which forces some coefficients to be set to zero
- The selected model is simpler since it does not include coefficients set to zero.
- It is similar to RIDGE regression but usually identifier a simpler model
- RIDGE simplifies the model by shrinking the size of some coefficients, while LASSO sets some coefficients to zero.


## Regularization

## Lasso regression

- It adds L1 the penalty
- L1 is the sum of the absolute value of the beta coefficients

$$
R S S+\lambda \sum_{j=1}^{p}\left|\beta_{j}\right|
$$

This is equivalent to minimizing the RSS under the condition

$$
\text { For } c>0, \sum_{j=1}^{p}\left|\beta_{j}\right|<c
$$

The regularization (L1) can lead to zero coefficients

- i.e., some of the features are completely neglected for the evaluation. It not only helps in reducing overfitting but also in feature selection


# Support Vector Regression 
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## Simple linear regression vs Support Vector Regression

Recall that for linear regression, the parameters and the model can be derived by minimizing the Residual sum of squares (RSS)

$$
\min R S S=\min \sum_{i}\left(y_{i}-\widehat{y_{i}}\right)^{2}
$$

We can instead be interested in reducing error to a certain degree

- errors within an acceptable range

Support Vector Regression

- define how much error is acceptable in our model
- find an appropriate hyperplane to fit the data


## Support Vector Machine - Regression

- Find a function, $f(x)$, that performs a prediction of the target attribute $y$ with a maximum error equal to $\varepsilon$



## Support Vector Regression: linear model

- The (training) problem can be formulated as a convex optimization problem

$$
\min \frac{1}{2}\|\theta\|^{2}
$$

s.t. $\quad y^{i}-\theta \cdot x^{i}-b \leq \varepsilon ;$
$\theta \cdot x^{i}+b-y^{i} \leq \varepsilon$
$y^{\prime}=$ value of the target attribute of the $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ training object
$x^{i}=$ value of the predictive attributes of the $i^{\text {th }}$ training object

$\theta$ and $\mathrm{b}=$ parameter of the regression model

## Support Vector Regression: Soft margin

- Given a specific value of $\varepsilon$, the problem is not always feasible
- Soft margin
- Reformulate the problem by considering the errors related to the predictions that do not satisfy the $\varepsilon$ maximum distance


## Support Vector Regression: Soft margin



For any value that falls outside of $\varepsilon$, we can denote its deviation from the margin as $\xi$

## Support Vector Regression: Soft margin

- The (training) problem can be formulated as a convex optimization problem

$$
\begin{array}{lc}
\min & \frac{1}{2}\|\theta\|^{2}+C \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(\xi_{i}+\xi_{i}^{*}\right) \\
\text { s.t. } & y^{i}-\theta \cdot x^{i}-b \leq \varepsilon+\xi_{i} ; \\
& \theta \cdot x^{i}+b-y^{i} \leq \varepsilon+\xi_{i}^{*} \\
& \xi_{i}, \xi_{i}^{*} \geq 0, i=1, \ldots, m
\end{array}
$$

We minimize the deviation $\xi$ from the margin
C: additional hyperparameter.

- As C increases, also the tolerance for points outside of $\varepsilon$ increases


## How about a non-linear case?



## Linear versus Non-linear SVR

- Map the original features into a higher order dimensional space
- Apply a kernel transformation
- Polynomial
- Gaussian radial
- Transform the input data by means of the kernel function $\varphi$ and then solve the previous problem


## Linear versus Non-linear SVR

- $\varphi$ maps the input data into a new dimensional space

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\min & \frac{1}{2}\|\theta\|^{2}+C \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(\xi_{i}+\xi_{i}^{*}\right) \\
\text { s.t. } & y^{i}-\theta \cdot \varphi\left(x^{i}\right)-b \leq \varepsilon+\xi_{i} \\
& \theta \cdot \varphi^{*}\left(x^{i}\right)+b-y^{i} \leq \varepsilon^{*}+\xi_{i} \\
& \xi_{i}, \xi_{i}^{*} \geq 0, i=1, \ldots, m
\end{array}
$$

# Evaluating regression 
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## Evaluating regression

- Evaluation metrics for regression:
- MAE (Mean Absolute Error)
- MSE (Mean Squared Error)
- RSE: Residual Standard Error
- $R^{2}$
- Adjusted R ${ }^{2}$
- The evaluation is performed by comparing
- $y$ : the actual value (ground truth)
- $\hat{y}$ : the predicted value through the regression model


## Evaluating regression

- MAE (Mean Absolute Error)
- the average vertical distance between each real value and the predicted one

$$
M A E=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i}\left|y_{i}-\widehat{y}_{i}\right|
$$

- MSE (Mean Squared Error)
- the average of the squares of the errors
- the average squared difference between the estimated values and the actual value.
- MSE tends to penalize less errors close to 0

$$
M S E=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i}\left(y_{i}-\widehat{y_{i}}\right)^{2}
$$

- MAE and MSE always > 0
- The lower the values of MAE and MSE the better the model
- It is mainly affected by the domains of data sample


## Evaluating regression

- Overall accuracy of the model
- RSE: Residual Standard Error

$$
R S E=\sqrt{\frac{1}{n-2} R S S}=\sqrt{\frac{1}{n-2} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\hat{y}_{i}\right)^{2}}
$$

- n is the number of samples
- RSS is the residual sum of squares
- RSE is always greater than 0
- The lower the RSE value the better the regression model


## Evaluating regression

- $R^{2}$ : R-squared measures the goodness of fit of a model
- how well the regression predictions approximate the real data points.
- It estimates a normalized error

$$
R^{2}=\frac{T S S-R S S}{T S S}=1-\frac{R S S}{T S S}
$$

- RSS is the residual sum of squares

$$
R S S=\sum_{i}\left(y_{i}-\widehat{y}_{i}\right)^{2}
$$

- TSS is the total sum of squares

$$
\text { with } \bar{y}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} y_{i}
$$

$$
T S S=\sum_{i}\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}_{i}\right)^{2}
$$

## Evaluating regression: $\mathrm{R}^{2}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
R^{2}=1-\frac{R S S}{T S S}=1-F V U \\
=1-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\hat{y}_{i}\right)^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\overline{y_{i}}\right)^{2}}=1-\frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\hat{y}_{i}\right)^{2}}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\overline{y_{i}}\right)^{2}}=1-\frac{M S E}{\sigma^{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

- $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ represents the proportion of variance of y explained by variation in x
- FVU means the fraction of variance unexplained
- Ratio between the unexplained variance (variance of the model's errors) and the total variance


## Evaluating regression: $\mathrm{R}^{2}$

- $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ value
- $\mathrm{R}^{2}=1$
- A perfect linear relationship between $x$ and $y$
- $100 \%$ of the $Y$ variation is explained by variation in $x$
- $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ close to 1
- A very good linear relationship between $x$ and $y$
- Good predictions
- $0<R^{2} \ll 1$
- Weaker linear relationship between $x$ and $y$
- A portion of the variation in $y$ is not explained by variation in $x$
- $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0$
- No linear relationship between $x$ and $y$
- The value of $y$ does not depend on the value of $x$


## Evaluating regression: $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ adjusted

- Drawback of $\mathrm{R}^{2}$
- In the context of multiple linear regression, if new predictors $\left(X_{i}\right)$ are added to the model, $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ only increases or remains constant but it never decreases.
- However, it is not always true that by increasing the complexity of regression model, the latter will be more accurate
- The Adjusted R-Squared is the modified form of R-Squared that has been adjusted to incorporate model's degree of freedom.
- It should be used to evaluate the quality of a multiple linear regression model

$$
\bar{R}^{2}=1-\left(1-R^{2}\right) \frac{n-1}{n-p-1}
$$

- $p=$ number of explanatory variables
- $\mathrm{n}=$ number of samples
- The adjusted R-Squared only increases if the new term improves the model accuracy.

