

Concept-based Explainable AI

Explainable and Trustworthy Al

Gabriele Ciravegna



- 1. MOTIVATION
- 2. Concept-based eXplainable AI (C-XAI)
- 3. TESTING WITH CONCEPT ACTIVATION VECTORS (T-CAV)

C-XAI PART I

C-XAI

PART II

- 4. Concept Bottleneck Models (CBM)
- 5. Concept Embedding Models (CEM)

1. Motivation

Standard Explainable AI does not always work well

Which method is better? [1]



[1] Adebayo, Julius, et al. "Sanity checks for saliency maps." Neurips 2018.

- It is not easy to assess which explanation method is better by only looking at the saliency maps
- Edge detectors produce similar explanations to some saliency maps (particularly those considering the input values, e.g., Gradient x Input)

Towards where are we randomizing? [1]



[1] Adebayo, Julius, et al. "Sanity checks for saliency maps." Neurips 2018.

Towards where are we randomizing? [1]



[1] Adebayo, Julius, et al. "Sanity checks for saliency maps." Neurips 2018.

- Randomizing a few layers does not have almost any effect on the explanation
- The explanation of a completely randomized network is still similar to the original one
- It is difficult to understand which layer is being randomized



Some explanation methods are more input-dependent than model dependent

Which class are we explaining? [3]

"Siberian Husky"

"Transverse Flute"



[3] Rudin, Cynthia. "Stop explaining black box machine learning models ..." Nature machine intelligence (2019)

- It is difficult to determine the explained class only looking at the saliency maps
- Saliency maps of very different classes can be still similar

Why XAI explanations are difficult to understand?

"Showing **where** a network is looking does not tell us **what** the network is seeing in a given input" [3, 4]

[3] Rudin, Cynthia. "Stop explaining black box machine learning models ..." Nature machine intelligence (2019)
[4] Achtibat, Reduan, et al. "From attribution maps to human-understandable explanations" Nature machine intelligence (2023)

2. Concept-based Explainable AI (C-XAI)



What is a Concept?

"A concept can be any abstraction, such as a colour, an object, or even an idea"[9]



[9] Molnar, Christoph. "Interpretable machine learning". (2020)

Different types of concepts

1. Symbolic Concepts

Human-defined attributes

- 2. Unsupervised Concept Basis Cluster of similar samples
- 3. Prototypes

(Part-of) a training sample

4. Textual Concepts

Textual representation of a main class

"BEAK"





"A bird with bright feathers"

Symbolic Concepts

Human-defined attributes or abstractions



- Of the final classes
- E.g., bird --> the beak of the bird, the color of the bird
- Require auxiliary data & annotations
 - Image-level annotation
 - Annotate the presence for each image of a concept
 - More expensive
 - Class-level annotation
 - All samples belonging to a class are annotated as having a certain attribute
 - Less expensive but less precise (e.g., attribute could not be visible)

Unsupervised Concept Basis

- Cluster of similar samples
 - Extracted from the network representation (a.k.a, the latent space)
- Not built to resemble human-defined concepts
 - Still capture abstractions more understandable to humans than individual features or pixels
 - E.g., a cluster of green birds.
- Clustering algorithms must employed to extract unsupervised concepts



Prototypes

- Explanation by Example
 - It will be better explained in the remaining of the course
- Representative examples of peculiar traits of the training samples
 - Entire samples
 - Parts of a training sample (e.g., a particular type of beak)
- The set of prototypes should be representative of the whole data set



- Different from unsupervised concept bases
 - Represent a single example instead of a group of examples

Textual Concepts

- Textual descriptions of main classes
 - From an individual description, distinctive pieces are extracted
 - Each piece embodies a characteristic of the corresponding class
 - It can be shared among different classes (e.g., a bird with bright feathers)
- Provided at training time by means of an external generative model
 - It requires a Large-Language Models LLMs with knowledge of the given task
- Employed in the form of a numerical embedding
 - of the corresponding text

"A bird with bright feathers"

Concept-based Explanations

1. Class-Concept Relations

Relation among a concept and an output class of a model

2. Node-Concept Association

Explicit association of a concept with a hidden node of the network

3. Concept-Visualization

Visualization of a learnt concept in terms of the input features

 $Beak \rightarrow Parrot$





Class-Concept Relations

- Relationship between a specific concept and an output class of the model
 - Concept importance
 - Logic rule involving multiple concepts and their connection to an output class
- Can be applied to all type of concepts:
 - E.g., with prototypes, we have parrot := 0.8 prototype₁ + 0.2 prototype₂

 $Beak \rightarrow Parrot$

Node-Concept Association

- Assign a concept to an internal unit (or a filter) of a network
- It enhances the transparency of deep learning models
 - highlighting what internal units see in a given sample.



- It can be defined post-hoc
 - by considering the hidden units maximally activating on input samples representing a concept.
- It can also be forced during training
 - by requiring a unit to predict a concept.

Concept Visualization

- Highlight the input features that best represent a specific concept.
 - Similar to saliency map but for concepts
- Crucial when non-symbolic concepts are employed
 - Need to understand which unsupervised attributes or prototypes the network has learned.



- Often combined with one of the previous explanations
 - Enable understanding the concepts associated with a specific class or node.

Post-hoc or Explainable-by-design?

Post-hoc Concept-based Explanations



Ghorbani, A., Wexler, J., Zou, J. Y., & Kim, B. Towards automatic concept-based explanations. NeurIPS 2019

Post-hoc Concept-based Explanation methods

- Standard pipeline:
 - Project samples representing the concepts in the model latent space
 - Analyze their relationship to the prediction (or the hidden node activations)
- Concepts employed can be supervised or unsupervised
 - Prototypes and generative concept have not been employed so far
- Pros:
 - They don't compromise the learning capacity of a model
 - They provide more interpretable explanations than standard post-hoc methods
- Cons:
 - Cannot ensure the network really knows the concepts (it has not been trained for that)

Explainable-by-design Concept-based Models



Koh, Pang W, et al. "Concept bottleneck models." *ICML 2020*.

Explainable-by-design Concept-based Models

- Neural models with an explicit concept representation as an intermediate layer
- Predicted concepts influence the task predictions
- All types of concepts and explanation can be employed
- Pros:
 - They can be regarded as inherently transparent models as they provide node-concept association by-design
- Cons:
 - They need ad-hoc training
 - Predicting concepts might reduce network task performance





Supervised Post-hoc **Providing Class-**Concept Concept-based **Concept Relation** Annotation Explanation Methods Beak Class-Concept **ADDITIONAL KNOWLEDGE** Parrot Relation *Beak*: 0.8 Explanation Beak OUTPUT Data Projection **Concept Representation** Parrot INPUT EXPLAINED MODEL

E.g., Kim, Been, et al. "Interpretability beyond feature attribution: Quantitative testing with concept activation vectors (tcav)." International conference on machine learning. (2018).

Post-hoc supervised method providing class-concept relations

- Take a pre-trained model
- Require a set of data annotated with concepts
- Analyze the projection of these data into the model latent space
- They correlate the projection with those of the output classes



Supervised Post-hoc Concept-based Explanation Methods

Providing Node-Concept Association



E.g., Bau, David, et al. "*Network dissection: Quantifying interpretability of deep visual representations.*" CVPR. 2017

Post-hoc supervised method providing node-concept association

- Similarly to methods providing class-concept relations
 - Take a pre-trained model
 - Require a set of data annotated with concepts
- Analyze the activations of the hidden nodes when fed with these data
- They associate to each node the concept for which they activate the most (on average)





E.g., Ghorbani, Amirata, et al. "Towards automatic concept-based explanations." NeurIPS (2019).

Post-hoc unsupervised method providing class-concept relation association

- Similarly to supervised methods take a pre-trained model
 - BUT: They don't require a set of data annotated with concepts
- They split input data into smaller crops
- Analyze the projections of the crops in the latent space of the model
- They clusterize projections --> clusters are unsupervised concepts
- They analyze the correlation of unsupervised concepts with output classes/predictions





E.g., Koh, Pang Wei, et al. "Concept bottleneck models." ICML (2020)

Supervised Concept-based models jointly training

- They train a model from scratch with a hidden layer predicting these concepts
 - Node-concept association by-design
- The predicted concepts are used to make the final prediction
 - If the «task predictor» is a white box model you can also extract class-concept relations
- Pros:
 - Very intuitive explanation («I see a beak, feathers and not a muzzle, it is a bird»)
 - They allow concept interventions and interacting with the model
- Cons:
 - They require a set of data annotated with both classes and concepts





E.G., CHEN, ZHI, ET AL. "*CONCEPT WHITENING FOR INTERPRETABLE IMAGE RECOGNITION*." NATURE MACHINE INTELLIGENCE (2020).

Supervised Concept-based models instilling concepts

- Differently from joint-training models:
 - Take a pre-trained model
 - The set of data annotated with the concepts may not be the same of the training data
- They turn a black-box model into an explainable-by-design one:
 - They fine-tune a certain layer to predict for the given concepts
 - They keep training the top of the network to predict the original classes





E.G., CHEN, CHAOFAN, ET AL. "*This looks like that: deep learning for interpretable image recognition*." Neurips 2019.

Unsupervised Concept-based models employing prototype concepts

- They don't require annotated concepts
- They train the network to both:
 - Learn to predict the output class
 - Encode in the hidden layers the most representative training examples
- Again, explainable-by-design:
 - Node-concept association
 - Class-concept relations in case of a white-box task predictor
- To visualize the prototypes:
 - Check the (part of the) sample for which the protype activate the most



Unsupervised Conceptbased Models Employing Unsupervised Concepts Basis



Alvarez Melis, David, and Tommi Jaakkola. "Towards robust interpretability with self-explaining neural networks." Neurips 2018.

Unsupervised Concept-based models employing unsupervised concept basis

- They train the network to both:
 - Learn to predict the output class
 - Create cluster of samples in the latent representation
- Again, explainable-by-design:
 - Node-concept association
 - Class-concept relations in case of a white-box task predictor
- To characterize the unsupervised concepts:
 - Visualize the samples closest to the centroids
 - Decode the centroids if employing an auto-encoder





Alvarez Melis, David, and Tommi Jaakkola. "Towards robust interpretability with self-explaining neural networks." Neurips 2018.

Hybrid Concept based Models

- They train the network to both:
 - Learn to predict a given set of concept with a subset of neurons
 - Create a clusterized representation in the remaining neurons
- Pros:
 - Overcome the accuracy trade-off of fully supervised models
 - Decrease annotation cost
 - Avoid «concept leakage»
- Cons:
 - Most of the information required to classify the classes is encoded in the unsupervised neurons
 - Concept interventions are less effective





Yang, Yue, et al. "Language in a bottle: Language model guided concept bottlenecks for interpretable image classification." IEEE CVPR 2023.

Generative concept-based models

- They employ a generative model to create the concept labels
 - For each class they ask a description to an LLM
 - They decompose this description into small pieces
- Concept-based model over textual concepts
 - The corresponding embeddings are aligned to the latent input representation to produce concept scores
 - The scores are used to provide the final classification (possibly interpretable)
- Pros:
 - No concept labelling required
- Cons:
 - Per-class labelling
 - Require an external generative model with knowledge of the problem

C-XAI (Part II)

- We will see some real examples
- Post-hoc supervised method:
 - Testing with Concept Activation Vector (T-CAV)
- Explainable-by-design supervised models:
 - Concept Bottleneck Model (CBM)
 - Concept Embedding Model (CEM)